w





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,065	04/11/2000	Byron A. Alcom	10981094-1	3670
22879	7590 12/23/2002			
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHU K	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/23/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

4	

Ju-

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/547,065

Applicant(s)

ALCORN et al.

Examiner

Phu K. Nguyen

Art Unit 2671

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allow:	REPLY FILED <u>Dec 9, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final tion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ex ap se	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🗷	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. □	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below); they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
	issues for appeal; and/or they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 57	
6. 🔀	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\boxtimes$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should include the additiona feature(s) of the claimed "2D" image to make it distinct from the conventional "2D" image.</u>
6. <b>X</b> . □	application in condition for allowance because: <u>Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should</u>
_	application in condition for allowance because: <u>Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should include the additiona feature(s) of the claimed "2D" image to make it distinct from the conventional "2D" image.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised</u>
7. 🗆	application in condition for allowance because:  Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should include the additiona feature(s) of the claimed "2D" image to make it distinct from the conventional "2D" image.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:
7.□ 8.⊠	application in condition for allowance because:  Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should include the additiona feature(s) of the claimed "2D" image to make it distinct from the conventional "2D" image.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6-8, 10, 13, 14, and 18-41
7.□ 8.⊠	application in condition for allowance because:  Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should include the additiona feature(s) of the claimed "2D" image to make it distinct from the conventional "2D" image.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6-8, 10, 13, 14, and 18-41
7.□ 8.⊠	application in condition for allowance because:  Kaufman's slices of data which have been processed by the pipeline system is the 2D images. Applicant should include the additiona feature(s) of the claimed "2D" image to make it distinct from the conventional "2D" image.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-4, 6-8, 10, 13, 14, and 18-41